

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE **FIRST NAMED INVENTOR** 08/831,379 04/01/97 NITZAN **EXAMINER** A1M1/1222 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MARK FRIEDMAN & CO C D ROBERT SHEINBEIN 2940 BIRCHTREE LANE 1102 SILVER SPRING MD 20906 DATE MAILED: 12/22/97 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This action is made final. Responsive to communication filed on__ This application has been examined ____ month(s), ___ days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTQ-892. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. ☑ Claims___/ - 30 ____ are pending in the application. are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims 2. Claims_ 3.1 Claims 26-30 4. Claims __ 1 -25 are objected to 5. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable; I not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ ____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). ____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received _____; filed on _ been filed in parent application, serial no. ___ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial Number: 08/831379

Art Unit: 1102

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: Some of the spellings of the 1. materials listed are requested to be corrected. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double 2. patenting over claims 1, 4 and 10 of U. S. Patent No. 5,652,043 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A flexible thin layer open liquid state electrochemical cell comprising a first layer of insoluble negative pole, a second layer of insoluble positive pole and a third layer of aqueous electrolyte, said third layer being disposed between the first and second layers and including: a deliquescent material for keeping the open cell wet at all times; an electroactive soluble material for obtaining required conductivity; and a water soluble polymer for obtaining a required viscosity for adhering said first and second layers. The difference between the patent and the application is with respect to whether the water soluble polymer adheres the first and second layers to the first layer or the third layer. It appears that the patent having the first and second layers to the first

Serial Number: 08/831379 Page 3

Art Unit: 1102

layer would be incorrect since then the two electrode layers would be adhered to each other and would short out since there is not an electrolyte or separator to keep the electrode poles from doing so. Since this is the case, it appears that the claim as instantly set forth in the patent application is properly set forth in the previous patents specification and therefore, could have been previously claimed, and also appears to have been set forth in all aspects of the patented claim 1 except for the proper adhesion to the correct layer. The specific materials of manganese dioxide and zinc as the positive and negative poles respectively already have been previously claimed in the patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Bell whose telephone number is (703) 308-2527.

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/831379

Art Unit: 1102

BRUCE F. BELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100

BFB

December 21, 1997